RISK FACTORS FOR PRETERM BIRTHS IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL, LADY READING HOSPITAL, PESHAWAR

Mohammad Irshad¹, Ashfaq Ahmad², Khawaja Fawad Ahmed³, Mohsin Hayat⁴, Rahida Kareem⁵, Mohammad Hussain⁶, Nusrat Ali⁷, Behram Khan⁸

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the various maternal and neonatal risk factors of preterm birth.

Methodology: A descriptive case series was conducted from 1^{st} January 2010 to 31^{st} December 2010. In this study all cases, a live Pre term birth (PTB) (29 – <37 weeks) by vaginal delivery or caesarean section and their mothers were included.

Results: A total of 205 (04.04%) neonates were identified as premature neonates. Majority (29.3%) were in the age range of 2 days with mean age of 1.5073 ± 1.2627 days. Out of 205, 51.7% were male and 48.3% were female. Maternal age of 21-35 years was recorded in majority 79.51% with mean age of 28.10 ± 5.8982 years. Majority of mothers (96.1%) were illiterate, 76.6% belonged to rural areas, 76.1% belonged to poor class, 35.1% were multiparas. A history of Premature rupture of membrane (PROM) was found in 61% cases, history of burning micturition 4.9%, physical injuries 0.5%, polyhydramnios 14.14%, previous low birth weight baby 41.5%, threaten abortion 27.8%, antepartum hemorrhage 36.1%, previous perinatal loss 31.2%, previous premature birth 30.2%, maternal pyrexia 14.1%, previous twins 6.3% and placenta previa 4.4%.

Conclusion: Frequency of prematurity was 04.04%. Among the various risk factors maternal age, illiteracy, rural residence, poverty, joint family setup, history of PROM, previous low birth weight babies, history of antepartum hemorrhage, multiparity, previous perinatal loss, previous premature babies, threaten abortion, were the prominent risk factors found in majority of cases.

Key Word: Prematurity; preterm births; maternal and fetal problems.

This article may be cited as: Irshad M, Ahmad A, Ahmed KF, Hayat M, Kareem R, Hussain M, et al. Risk Factors for Preterm Births in a Tertiary Care Hospital, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar. J Postgrad Med Inst 2012; 26(2): 158-64.

INTRODUCTION

Pre-term birth (PTB) is a major determinant of neonatal mortality, morbidity and childhood disability and remains one of the most

^{1,2,4-8} Department of Paediatrics & Neonatology, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar - Pakistan

³ Department of Gynaecology, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar - Pakistan

Address for Correspondence: Dr. Mohammad Irshad, Department of Paediatrics & Neonatology, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar - Pakistan E-mail: doc_irshad@yahoo.com

Date Received:March 22, 2011Date Revised:February 17, 2012Date Accepted:February 22, 2012

serious problems in obstetrics. PTB is defined as gestational age at birth of less than 37 completed gestational weeks. It is further classified into three main categories: mild, very pre-term and extremely pre-term for births occurring at 32-36 weeks, 28-31 weeks and less than 28 weeks respectively, with average frequencies of 85%, 10% and 5%, respectively¹⁻³. Over the past two decades despite major preventive efforts, the incidence of PTB has remained constant at about 5-10% of live births in most countries⁴⁻⁶. Approximately 12.7% of births are preterm and 2% are less than 32 weeks. It is estimated that 70 to 80% of PTBs occur spontaneously. The remaining 20 to 30% of PTBs are due to intervention for maternal or fetal problems^{7,8}.

Worldwide data on the incidence of preterm birth are unreliable, but incidence ranges between 5% in developed countries and 25% in developing countries⁹. Wide scale national data is lacking in this respect to show the incidence in our country¹⁰.

The main causes of preterm delivery are spontaneous premature labor, premature rupture of membranes, and therapeutic induction of labor (indicated on the basis of fetal or maternal complications). Recent studies have also suggested genital tract infections, stress, anxiety, and depression as determinants of preterm birth. An association between the indiscriminate use of cesarean sections and increased preterm birth rate has also been observed in Ribeirão Preto, Brazil. However, in most cases the etiology of preterm birth is unknown¹¹⁻¹³.

In 75% of PTB cases no obvious causes have been established, but several etiological risk factors have been identified. Non-obstetric risk factors include: poor socioeconomic status^{14,15}, maternal malnutrition^{16,17}, illiteracy^{17,18}, maternal age of <20 and >35 years^{18,19}, heavy manual work²⁰, cigarette smoking^{21,22}, long distance travel²³, and trauma²⁴. Obstetric risk factors associated with PTB include: uterine malformations, placenta previa, abruptio placentae, cervical incompetence, multiple gestations, short birth intervals, abortion, pre-labor premature rupture of membrane (PPROM) and previous PTB^{16,19,20,23,25-30}.

A number of other medical conditions have also been associated with PTB including: heart disease, lung disease, hypertension, and anemia; a history of preterm delivery or low birth weight children, Diabetes mellitus, urinary and genital tract infections and psychological stress^{23,31,32}. Fetal disorders such as fetal erythroblastosis, fetal distress, or intrauterine growth retardation (which might require preterm delivery); and inadvertently performed early delivery¹².

The objective of this study was to determine the various risk factors for PTB that could be targeted to reduce the risk of PTB in this region.

METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in the Department of Pediatrics and Neonatology, Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar, during the one year period from 1st January 2010 to 31st December 2010. PTB cases were selected for the study admitted in the Department of Pediatrics and Neonatology, PGMI/LRH, Peshawar.

Inclusion criteria: In this study all cases, a live PTB $(29 - \langle 37 \rangle$ weeks) by vaginal delivery or caesarean section and their mothers were included.

Informed consent was obtained from all study subjects before enrollment in the study.

Exclusion Criteria: Elective cases of preterm labour and twin pregnancies were excluded.

Methodology: All mothers of included cases were interviewed face-to-face using a specially designed questionnaire. In addition to general background information, respondents were asked questions about suspected risk factors. Socioeconomic status included per month income of the head of family, house, locality etc. Information about suspected risk factors for the present pregnancy and past obstetric history were obtained from the patient and/or from the clinical case record as applicable. The patients were asked about the diagnosis and treatment of four conditions during pregnancy: diabetes, typhoid fever, urinary tract infections and genital tract infections.

All the qualitative variables like gender, maternal socioeconomic, demographic data, past obstetric history, present pregnancy, suspected risk factors were analyzed for percentages and frequencies. Mean \pm standard deviation was calculated for quantitative variables like age of premature neonates and maternal age. For gender male to female ratio of premature neonates was calculated. The results were presented through tables, and figures. All the data was analyzed by statistical program SPSS version 12 for windows.

RESULTS

Out of 5062 children admitted in Pediatrics and Neonatology Department, PGMI/LRH, Peshawar during the period from January 01, 2010 to December 31st, 2010, only 205 neonates with the frequency of 04.04% were identified as premature neonates. So a total of 205 PTB cases were included in the study.

Majority of premature neonates 165 (80.49%) were in the age range of 0-2 days, followed by 40 (19.51%) were in the age range of 3-5 days. Overall age range was from 0 day to 5 days with mean age of 1.5073 ± 1.2627 days.

Out of 205 neonates, majority 106 (51.7%) were male and 99 (48.3%) were female with male to female ratio of 1.07: 1 (Table 1).

Maternal demographic data i.e. maternal age, educational status, residential area, socioeconomic status and other details are mentioned in Table 2.

Various risk factors for prematurity e.g. multiparity, time interval between the two pregnancies, period of gestation (POG), antenatal care (check up), history of PROM, history of burning maturation, polyhydramnios, previous low birth weight baby, threaten abortion, history of antepartum hemorrhage, previous perinatal loss, previous premature birth, maternal pyrexia, previous twins are mentioned in Table 3.

Among anomalies i.e. placenta previa, and

ovarian cyst, and their relative frequencies are mentioned in Table 3.

Associated medical disorders included PIH, eclampsia, anemia, fibroid, and diabetes mellitus are also given in details in Table 3.

Neonatal Demographic Data		No. of Cases	Percentage
Frequency of pre term		205/5062	04.04%
Age:	0 - 2 days 3 - 5 days	165 40	80.41% 19.51%
Gender distribution:			
	Male	106	51.7%
	Female	99	48.3%

 Table 1: Various Characteristics of Preterm Neonates (n=205)

Maternal Demographic Data	No. of Cases	Percentage
Age:		
15 - 34 years	154	75.12%
35 - 45 years	51	24.88%
Educational status:		
Illiterate	197	96.10%
Matric (secondary)	04	02.00%
F.A. (Higher secondary)	02	01.00%
Middle	02	01.00%
Residential status:		
Rural	157	76.60%
Urban	48	23.40%
Socioeconomic status:		
Poor (monthly income up to 10000/-)	156	76.10%
Middle (monthly income > 10000/- to 20000/-)	49	23.90%
Type of family setup:		
Joint	147	71.70%
Nuclear	58	28.30%

Risk Factors	No. of Cases	Percentage
History of PROM	125	61.00%
Previous low birth weight babies	85	41.50%
History of antepartum hemorrhage	74	36.10%
Multiparity	72	35.10%
Previous perinatal loss	64	31.20%
Previous premature babies	62	30.20%
Threaten abortion	57	27.80%
Polyhydramnios	29	14.14%
Maternal pyrexia	29	14.14%
Previous twins	13	06.30%
History of burning micturition	10	04.00%
Time of interval between two pregnancies:		
1 to 2 years	143	69.75%
2.1 to 2.5 years	04	02%
Period of gestation (POG):		
25 - 30 weeks	31	15.12%
31 -36.5 weeks	174	84.88%
Antenatal Care (checkup):		
Done	20	09.75%
Not done	185	90.25%
Anomalies:		
Placenta previa	09	04.40%
Ovarian cyst	02	01.00%
Associated medical disorders:		
Pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH)	61	29.75%
Eclampsia	06	02.92%
Anemia	03	01.50%
Fibroid	02	01.00%
Diabetes mellitus	02	01.00%

DISCUSSION

In a local study total numbers of deliveries during the study period (from January 2006 to December 2007) were 1815, so the frequency of preterm birth was 7.5%. They have eliminated twins pregnancies and elective preterm deliveries in their study, so the actual frequency of preterm birth will higher than this figure¹⁰. Anyway our reported frequency is 04.40% which is comparable to the reported worldwide figure^{33.35}.

In an analysis a significant risk association was found between PTB and women who conceived at younger but not at older ages. Contradicting results have been observed in other studies between the age of the mother at conception and PTB^{18,36}. In our study 11.70%

women were less than 20 years of age, while > 35 years (range 35-45) were 24.88% making an overall 36.58% risk for prematurity. These results are corresponding with the studies in which advance maternal age was a risk factor for prematurity^{29,30}.

In this study multiparity was recorded in 35.10% cases. In some studies no significant association was observed between PTB and parity. Some cross-sectional analyses have reported an association with high parity, while others showed no effect of parity on the occurrence of PTB^{28,37}.

In one local study¹⁰ cause of preterm birth was multifactorial in a high proportion of the cases. Anemia was detected as the commonest

aetiological factor (45%) in the aforesaid local study¹⁰. This association is being documented in another studies too^{38,39}. This reflects poverty leading to anemia due to nutritional deficiencies in rural areas. This is the fact that in rural communities poverty is proportionate to anemia which leads to preterm birth in a significant number of cases.

Poor socioeconomic background and illiteracy were also both found to be significantly associated with PTB. Similarly, significant associations were observed between PTB and heavy manual work and caring for domestic animals. All these conditions are interrelated and are proxies for low socioeconomic status. Other studies have also found that limiting the amount of work done by pregnant women and avoiding fatigue helps reduce the risk of PTB^{16,17,23,28}. Our study's results are also in agreement with above mentioned studies showing that the majority of women were illiterate (96.1%), residents of rural areas (76.6%) and belonged to poor class of the society, which are commonest risk factors for prematurity. Due to lack of education in rural community, women are unaware of the consequences of preterm birth and which is also responsible for late arrival to health care facilities when no one can do any measure to save the pregnancy.

Preterm deliveries in previous pregnancies have been associated with high risk of preterm birth in the next pregnancy⁴⁰. In a local study past history of preterm birth was positive in 25% of cases while 8.8% of the cases had more than one second trimester miscarriage in the past⁴⁰. Similar association was observed in another study³⁹. In our study previous low birth weight baby and previous premature birth were encountered in 41.5% and 30.2% cases respectively.

In our study 90.25% of the patients were lacking of any antenatal care, as seen in other studies too^{28,39}. Maternity care is likely to be of importance in screening for risks of preterm birth like infections, inadequate nutrition, medical disorders and cervical incompetence. While one study found that cases had undergone a greater number of antenatal care visits than had the controls, mainly for pregnancy complications. The coverage of antenatal care was very low in about 33% in one study, and antenatal visits are mainly made for high-risk pregnancies in other parts of the world too⁴¹.

A study²⁸ also revealed significant risk associations between the presence of cervical incompetence, multiple pregnancies and previous PTB. This, too, is in accordance with other studies^{16,19,20,25}. Our results are also comparable with this finding. Multiparity was recorded in 35.1% cases and PTB in 30.2% cases.

A study investigated the possible association of PTB with histories of other medical diseases, like diabetes mellitus, maternal pyrexial illnesses with PTB¹. Accidental hemorrhage has also been suspected as a risk factor²⁷.

In this study we have found that associated medical disorders were encountered with varied frequencies e.g. pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH) in 29.75% cases, eclampsia in 2.92%, fibroid in 1%, diabetes mellitus in 1% cases respectively.

In one study³⁹ frequency of fetal anomalies was quiet high (10.3%) as compared to other studies. The commonest ones were neural tube defects. Folate deficiency is also responsible for more cases with abruptio placenta in the aforesaid study as compared to other study²⁸. In our study among anomalies placenta previa was found in 4.4% cases, mass in uterus, ovarian cyst, obstructed cervix, cord around neck, fetal encephalocele, and polyp was recorded in 1% case respectively.

The differences in study results are mainly attributed to the types of study design, the variables measured and analyzed and other factors.

CONCLUSIONS

From the results of this study it is concluded that prematurity is a frequent finding in our community. Among the various risk factors, maternal age; illiteracy, poverty; joint family setup; history of PROM and no antenatal checkup; and associated medical disorders like pregnancy induced hypertension were observed risk factors associated with PTB in this study. However, all these risk factors, which have been found to be associated with PTB, are modifiable.

Grant Support, Financial Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

None Declared

REFERENCES

- 1. Lumley J. Defining the problem: the epidemiology of preterm birth. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2003;110:3-7.
- 2. Moutquin J. Classification and heterogenicity of preterm birth. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2003;110:30-3.
- Lawn JE, Cousens S, Zupan J. Lancet neonatal survival steering team. 4 million neonatal deaths: When? Where? Why. Lancet 2005;365:891-900.

- 4. Wen SW, Smith G, Yang Q, Walker N. The epidemiology of preterm birth and neonatal outcome. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2004;9:429-35.
- 5. Bibby E, Stewart A. The epidemiology of preterm birth. Neuro Endocrinol Lett 2004;25:43-7.
- 6. Zeitlin J, Bucourt M, Rivera L, Topuz B, Papiernik E. Preterm birth and maternal country of birth in a French district with a multiethnic population. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2004;111:849-55.
- Carey JC, Gibbs RS. Preterm labor and postterm delivery. In: Gibbs RS, Karlan BY, Haney AF, Nygaard IE, editors. Danforth's obstetrics and gynecology. 10th ed. New York: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008. p. 166-85.
- 8. Lee KG. Identifying the high-risk newborn and evaluating gestational age, prematurity, postmaturity, large-for-gestational-age, and small-for-gestational-age infants. In: Cloherty JP, Eichenwald EC, Stark AR, editors. Manual of neonatal care. 6th ed. New York: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008. p. 42-58.
- 9. Beck S, Wojdyla D, Say L, Betran AP, Merialdi M, Requejo JH, et al. The worldwide incidence of preterm birth: a systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity. Bull World Health Organ 2010;88:31-8.
- 10. Tufail A, Hashmi HA, Naheed F. Risk factors for preterm labour in a rural cohort. Med Channel 2009;15:55-7.
- 11. Bettiol H, Rona RJ, Chinn S, Goldani M, Barbieri MA. Factors associated with preterm birth in Southeast Brazil: a comparison of two birth cohorts born 15 years apart. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2000;14:30-8.
- Aragão VMF, da Silva AAM, Aragão LF, Barbieri MA, Bettiol H, Coimbra LC, et al. Risk factors for preterm births in São Luís, Maranhão, Brazil. Cad Saúde Pública 2004;20:57-63.
- 13. Barros FC, Victora CG, Matijasevich A, Santos IS, Horta BL, Silveira MF, et al. Preterm births, low birth weight, and intrauterine_Hlt284188522_Hlt284188522growth restriction three birth cohorts in Southern Brazil: 1982, 1993 and 2004. Cad Saude Publica 2008; 24:390-8.
- 14. Savitz DA, Kaufman JS, Dole N, Siega-Riz AM, Thorp JM Jr, Kaczor DT. Poverty, education, race and pregnancy outcome. Ethn Dis 2004;14:322-9.

- 15. Zeka A, Melly SJ, Schwartz J. The effects of socioeconomic status and indices of physical environment on reduced birth weight and preterm births in Eastern Massachusetts. Environ Health 2008;7:60.
- 16. Muggah E, Way D, Muirhead M, Baskerville B. Preterm delivery among Inuit women in the Baffin region of the Canadian Arctic. Int J Circumpolar Health 2004;63:242-7.
- 17. Cisse CT, Mbaye M, Faye Dieme ME, Traore AL, Moreau JC. Previous induced abortions and the risk of very preterm delivery: results of the EPIPAGE study. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2005;112:430-7.
- Jacobsson B, Ladfors L, Milsom I. Advanced maternal age and adverse prenatal outcome. Obstet Gynecol 2004;104:727-33.
- 19. Krymko H, Bashiri A, Smolin A, Sheiner E, Bar-David J, Shoham-Vardi I, et al. Risk factors for recurrent preterm delivery. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2004;113:160-3.
- Ezechi OC, Makinde ON, Kalu BE, Nnatu SN. Risk factors for preterm delivery in South Western Nigeria. J Obstet Gynaecol 2003; 23:387-91.
- 21. Pollack H, Lantz PM, Frohna JG. Maternal smoking and adverse birth outcomes among singletons and twins. Am J Public Health 2000;90:395-400.
- 22. McCowan LME, Dekker GA, Chan E, Stewart A, Chappell LC, Hunter M, et al. Spontaneous preterm birth and small for gestational age infants in women who stop smoking early in pregnancy: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2009;338:1081-5.
- 23. Moutquin JM. Socio-economic and psychological factors in the management and prevention of preterm labor. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2003;110:56-60.
- 24. El-Kady D, Gilbert WM, Anderson J, Danielsen B, Towner D, Smith LH. Trauma during pregnancy, an analysis of maternal and fetal outcomes in a large population. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2004;190:1661-8.
- 25. Kurdi AM, Mesleh RA, Al-Hakeem MM, Khashoggi TY, Khalifa HM. Multiple pregnancy and preterm birth. Saudi Med J 2004;25:632-7.
- 26. Althuisius SM, Dekker GA. Controversies regarding cervical incompetence, short cervix and the need for cerclage. Clin Perinatol 2004;31:695-720.
- 27. Nguyen N, Savitz DA, Thorp JM. Risk factors

for preterm birth in Vietnam. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2004;86:70-8.

- Al-Dabbagh SA, Al-Taee WY. Risk factors for pre-term birth in Iraq: a case-control study. BMC Preg Childbirth 2006;6:13.
- 29. Muglia LJ, Katz M. The Enigma of spontaneous preterm birth. N Engl J Med 2010;362:529-35.
- Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. Lancet 2008;371:75-84.
- 31. Wright SP, Mitchell EA, Thompson JM, Clements MS, Ford RP, Stewart AW. Risk factors for preterm birth: a New Zealand study. N Z Med J 1998;111: 14-6.
- 32. Brown D Jr. Clinical variability of bacterial vaginosis and trichomoniasis. J Reprod Med 2004;49:781-6.
- 33. Anumba DOC. Management of women with a previous preterm birth. Obstet Gynaecol Reprod Med 2007;188-91.
- Hoyert DL, Mathew TJ, Menacker F, Strobino DM, Guyer B. Annual summary of vital statistics: 2004. Pediatrics 2006;117:168-83.
- 35. Alvarez JR, Apuzzio JJ. Controversies in the management of preterm premature rupture of membranes. In: Studd J, Tan SL, Chervenak FA, editors. Progress in obstetrics and gynecology. New Delhi: Churchill Livingstone;

2008. p. 203-22.

- 36. da Silva AA, Simoes VM, Barbieri MA, Bettiol H, Lamy-Filho F, Coimbra LC, et al. Young maternal age and preterm birth. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2003;17:332-9.
- 37. Main DM, Grisso JA, Wold T, Snyder ES, Holmes J, Chiu G. Extended longitudinal study of uterine activity among low risk women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1991;165:1317-22.
- Spong CY. Prediction and prevention of recurrent spontaneous preterm birth. Obstet Gynecol 2007;110:405-15.
- 39. Ghazi A, Jabbar S, Siddiq NM. Preterm labour - still a challenge. Pak J Surg 2006;22:222-6.
- 40. Malik A. Risk of recurrence of preterm premature rupture of membranes in subsequent pregnancies. Ann K Edwards Med Coll 2004; 10:21-2.
- 41. Olusanya BO, Ofovwe GE. Predictors of preterm births and low birthweight in an innercity hospital in sub-Saharan Africa. Matern Child Health J 2010;14:978-86.

CONTRIBUTORS

MI conceived the idea and planned the study. AA, KFA, MH, RK, MH, NA & BK did the data collection and analyzed the study, SR supervised the study. All the authors contributed significantly in the manuscript.