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**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** To estimate the frequency of mindfulness and correlate the impact of social support on it in medical and dental students of Peshawar.

**Methodology:** This cross-sectional study conducted from December 2017 to March 2018, included students of medical and dental colleges of Peshawar. Participants were selected by convenience sampling, and were asked to complete the Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS) and Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS). MAAS consists of 15 items and SSRS comprises of 10 questions with three subscales; subjective support, objective support and utilization of social support. The data was analyzed using SPSS version 20.

**Results:** The mean age of the sample (n=624) was 20.9 ±1.6 years. Majority were male students 369 (59.1%) and most of the sample was collected from Private sector institutions (n=497, 79.6%), medical students (n=475, 76.1%) and from First year (n=236, 37.8%). The Cronbach’s alpha reliability of MAAS in our study was 0.886. According to the responses of MAAS, there were 268 (42.9%) students having mindfulness. The responses on SSRC showed that 297 (47.6%) students do not have appropriate social support. The Pearson correlation showed that students who had better social support, showed more mindfulness (p=0.000).

**Conclusion:** Every eighth medical student lacks appropriate social support and every sixth student having issues of mindfulness. However, a significant correlation was observed in both scales. MAAS can be reliably used in our setup.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Mindfulness refers to a “state of mind that is relaxed, present, focused and filled with awareness of one’s own sensations, emotions and thoughts without judgment”¹. Mindfulness involves acceptance, where we focus on our thoughts and feelings without having the sense of being judgmental³. There is a growing consensus among mental health professionals and international health organizations that more work and research needs to focus on prevention of mental illness⁴. Therefore, there has been steady increase in the research on mindfulness in recent years⁴. The research interest has been specifically focused on the use of mindfulness as a psychological function and its use in various clinical frameworks for treatment of a variety of illnesses especially anxiety and depression⁵⁻¹³.

Mindfulness plays a vital role in a person’s social relationships, as it increases people’s ability to identify and communicate emotional states and regulate anger expression¹⁴. It also increases people’s ability to cope with relationship stress¹⁵. The reason behind these positive effects can partly be attributed to the fact that mindfulness genuinely increases concern towards relationships¹⁶. Since the stressors of medical education and training are significant and the emphasis has to be on earning the art of dealing with a high degree of stress, concentrating on individual wellness and developing the capacity to deliver professional and empathetic patient care¹⁷⁻¹⁹. In this context, studies have suggested that students having mindfulness and social support, deal positively with stresses in their daily lives⁵,⁷,²⁰.

As the medical education system in Pakistan is competitive and performance-driven, it is presumed that Pakistani medical students may experience high levels of stress and consequently mindfulness and social support may be helpful in coping with such stress. The present study aims to estimate the frequency of mindfulness in
medical and dental students of Peshawar and correlate
the impact of social support on it. These findings may
not be generalized but may be of vital importance in
the context of medical education in Pakistan.

**METHODOLOGY**

This cross sectional study was conducted in all public
and private sector medical and dental institutions of
Peshawar, Pakistan. The duration of the study was from
December 2017 to March 2018. Convenience sampling
technique was used and all the students consenting to
participate were included. The participation was entire-
ly voluntary and information was kept confidential. The
study was approved by Ethical Review Committee (ERC)
of the institution. Students who have been diagnosed
with some kind of mental distress or sleep disturbanc-
es were excluded. All the students from 1st year to final
year were invited to complete the survey. Students, after
taking consent, were provided with a set of question-
naires of Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS)
and Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS), along with de-
mographic information.

Mindful Attention Awareness Scale was developed
by Brown and Ryan in 2003\(^1\). MAAS consists of 15 items
and is designed to assess mindfulness. Higher scores
reflect higher levels of mindfulness. The measure takes
10 minutes or less to complete. Social Support Rating
Scale is used to measure social support and was devel-
oped by Xiao\(^2\). SSRS comprises of 10 questions with
three subscales; subjective support, objective support
and utilization of social support subscales. The total
SSRS score is the sum of the score from the three sub-
scases. A higher score indicates more social support.

The data was analyzed by using SPSS v.20. Analy-
ysis of the basic variables was carried out using descrip-
tive statistics. Reliability of the scales was carried out
through Cronbach’s alpha reliability. Chi-square test
was applied to find out the gender difference and dif-
ference between private and public sector medical and
dental college populations. Correlation was calculated
between MAAS and SSRS by applying Pearson correla-
tion. The results of all the tests of significance were con-
sidered significant at p <0.05 level.

**RESULTS**

The mean age of the sample (n=624) was 20.9 ± 1.6
years. Majority were male students 369 (59.1%) and
most of the sample was collected from Private sec-
tor institutions (n=497, 79.6%), from medical students
(n=475, 76.1%) and from First year (n=236, 37.8%). The
Cronbach’s alpha reliability of MAAS in our study was
0.886. According to the responses of MAAS, there were
268 (42.9%) students having mindfulness. The respons-
es on SSRC showed that 297 (47.6%) students do not
have appropriate social support. Details are given in
Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>(59.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>(40.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Institutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>(79.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>(20.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Specialty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>(76.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>(23.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>(37.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>(16.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd year</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>(22.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>(18.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th year</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>(5.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>(42.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>(57.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>(52.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>(47.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Summary of Pearson correlation between MAAS and SSRS among medical and dental students (n=624)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Social Support Rating Scale</td>
<td>.169**</td>
<td>(.000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Chi-Square distribution of MAAS and SSRS among gender, private and public sector in medical and dental students of Peshawar (n=624)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>M (SD) (n=624)</th>
<th>Private Sector</th>
<th>Public Sector</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS)</td>
<td>45.05 (12.8)</td>
<td>High 199 (31.9%)</td>
<td>69 (11.1%)</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>133 (21.3%)</td>
<td>135 (21.6%)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low 298 (47.8%)</td>
<td>58 (9.3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>236 (37.8%)</td>
<td>120 (19.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRS Overall</td>
<td>31.82 (4.52)</td>
<td>High 251 (40.2%)</td>
<td>76 (12.2%)</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>191 (30.6%)</td>
<td>136 (21.8%)</td>
<td>.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low 246 (39.4%)</td>
<td>51 (12.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>178 (28.5%)</td>
<td>119 (19.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective Support</td>
<td>19.31 (3.35)</td>
<td>High 297 (47.6%)</td>
<td>81 (13%)</td>
<td>.408</td>
<td>227 (36.4%)</td>
<td>151 (24.2%)</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low 200 (32%)</td>
<td>46 (7.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>142 (22.8%)</td>
<td>104 (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective Support</td>
<td>6.17 (1.35)</td>
<td>High 338 (54.2%)</td>
<td>86 (13.8%)</td>
<td>.950</td>
<td>225 (36.1%)</td>
<td>199 (31.9%)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low 159 (25.5%)</td>
<td>41 (6.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>144 (23.1%)</td>
<td>56 (9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization of Social Support</td>
<td>6.34 (1.66)</td>
<td>High 352 (56.4%)</td>
<td>92 (14.7%)</td>
<td>.720</td>
<td>345 (55.3%)</td>
<td>99 (15.9%)</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low 145 (23.2%)</td>
<td>35 (5.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>130 (20.8%)</td>
<td>50 (8.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Pearson correlation showed that students who had better social support, showed more mindfulness (p = 0.000); complete details are given in Table 2.

Significant gender differences were found between the scores of MAAS; male students were more mindful as compared to females (p = 0.000). Whereas no significant gender difference was found on Social support (p = 0.699). Private sector students were more mindful as compared to public sector students (p = 0.004); but no significant difference was found on social support (p = 0.060). On SSRS, male students showed significantly more subjective social support as compared to female students (p = 0.000). Further details are given in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

We used MAAS to evaluate the frequency of mindfulness in medical and dental students. We also assessed the effect of social support on mindfulness. The Cronbach’s alpha reliability of MAAS scale came out to be .866 which is similar to other studies, which has shown similar findings. One of the study showed low reliability as compared to our study findings (0.75)28.

Our results showed that 268 (42.9%) students had mindfulness which is similar to the findings of a research by Ahmadi et al in which 37% undergraduate students had mindfulness. The findings of our research...
showed that the 297 (47.6%) students do not have appropriate social support which is in accordance with other studies28,30.

Our research showed that males were slightly more mindful, which is in accordance with the findings of Cresswell et al31 and Pico-Alfonso et al32 who showed marginal association between gender and mindfulness and males showing a greater trait mindfulness. This is opposed by the findings of MacKillop et al33 and Shallcross et al34 who argued that there is no gender differences and in another study by Shil et al35 showed that females had more mindfulness.

In our research findings, 1st year students had more mindfulness which is in contrast to the results of Ahmadi et al36 which suggested that educational status isn’t related with mindfulness. These findings are also opposed by Semple et al37 that all undergraduates are equally affected.

There is dearth of literature comparing the mindfulness and social support between students of public and private sector in medical and dental colleges.

## CONCLUSION

The present research concluded that every eighth medical student lacked appropriate social support and every sixth student had issues of mindfulness. A significant correlation was observed in both scales. On the basis of this study, it can be suggested that MAAS can be reliably used in our setup.
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