## AGGRESSION AND PHYSICAL HEALTH IN MARRIED WOMEN

Faiza Shafique<sup>1</sup>, Riffat Sadiq<sup>2</sup>

1.2 Department of Applied Psychology, Government College Women University, Faisalabad - Pakistan.

# Address for correspondence: Dr. Riffat Sadiq

Assistant Professor,
Department of Applied Psychology, Government College
Women University, Faisalabad
- Pakistan.

Email: dfn.pak@gmail.com Date Received: July 10, 2018 Date Revised: September 19, 2019 Date Accepted: October 02, 2019

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To examine aggression as determinant of physical health in married women.

**Methodology:** The present correlational study was carried out from January to September 2017. One hundred and fifty married women were recruited using snowball sampling method from Jinnah Town, Lyallpur Town, Madina Town and Jaranwala Town of Faisalabad. Demographic Information form, aggression questionnaire and subscale of physical health (quality of life scale) were used for data collection. Cronbach's alpha, Pearson correlation coefficient, linear regression and multiple regression analysis were calculated with SPSS version 20.0.

**Results:** Cronbach's alpha revealed good internal consistency for Urdu Version of Aggression Questionnaire ( $\alpha$  =0.92). Pearson correlation coefficient has shown significant correlation of Urdu Version with its English (original) Version (r =.69). Linear and multiple regression analyses showed that aggression (p =.001), physical aggression (p =.029) and anger (p =.046) have significantly determined physical health in married women.

**Conclusion:** Physical aggression and anger were detrimental for physical health in married women.

Key Words: Aggression, Health, Women

This article may be cited as: Shafique S, Sadiq R. Aggression and physical health in married women. J Postgrad Med Inst 2019; 33(4): 319-23.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Aggression is an instinctive drive of a person and a dark side of human nature<sup>1</sup>. It includes a variety of range of behaviors<sup>2</sup>. Aggression involves verbal and physical assault<sup>3</sup>, therefore, its expression results in intense violence towards others<sup>4</sup>. Aggression is an unwanted and maladaptive behavior causing damage and obliteration<sup>5</sup>. It is exhibited in different forms encompassing physical aggression, verbal aggression, anger and hostility<sup>6</sup>. A person with physical aggression causing physical and emotional harm others while harming or hurting someone verbally is described as verbal aggression. Anger involves physiological activation determining emotional and affective state. Hostility includes feelings of cynicism, mistrust and opposition.

With regards to gender difference, research demonstrated men to be more involved in physical violence<sup>7</sup>. In contrast, women seemed to be more indulged in verbal aggression<sup>8</sup>. At times, women exhibit passive anger<sup>9</sup>. Substantial research data highlighted psychological and physical health problems with aggression. It is allied with depression and increased heart rate<sup>10,11</sup>.

In women, anger is related to coronary heart disease<sup>12</sup> while anger expression is connected to hypertension<sup>13</sup>. Aggression is related to physical health symptoms such as back pain, arthralgia, headaches and peptic ulcer<sup>14,15</sup>. Aggression is also harmful for social relationship with family and friends<sup>16</sup>. Anger has negative influence on physical health of women. It causes depression, disturbs quality of life and creates interpersonal problems<sup>17-19</sup>.

The present research intended to examine the aggression as a determinant of physical health in married women. In Asian culture, women' physical health is incumbent for family stability. They are engaged in performing household responsibilities from dawn to dusk such as: dusting, cleaning, cooking, child rearing and taking care of husbands/in-laws. This full time job is difficult to be performed adequately, if women experience discomfort, pain and feel incapable. Thus, it is significant to address factors determining their physical health.

The present study attempted to probe how aggression may influence physical health of married women.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Having approval from the ethical review committee of affiliated institution; the present correlational study was carried out from January to September 2017. Snowball sampling method was employed to recruit one hundred and fifty (n =150) married women hailing from Jinnah Town, Lyallpur Town, Madina Town and Jaranwala Town situated in Faisalabad. Women with chronic physical/mental illnesses, infertility and those experiencing domestic violence were excluded. Post hoc analysis to compute achieved power of sample (n =150) for multiple regression revealed the statistical power of present sample size 0.96 with effect size of 0.15 at 0.05 level.

Demographic information including age, educational level, marital status, duration of marriage, number of children and family members was taken with Demographic Information Form (DIF).

Urdu version of Physical Health, a subscale of Quality of Life Scale, measured physical health of the participants in terms of daily activities, dependence on medicine, pain, discomfort, capacity to work and mobility. It comprised of 7-items. There is a 5 point of continuum (1-5) for scoring all of its items. Reliability for Urdu Version was  $(\alpha=0.81)^{20}$ .

Aggression Questionnaire<sup>6</sup> (29-items) was used to examine aggression in women. It comprised of four subscales: physical aggression (9-items), verbal ag-

gression (5-items), anger (7-items) and hostility with 8items. There is 5 point likert scale for scoring. Aggression questionnaire was translated into Urdu language by three experts having sound knowledge of psychology which was translated back into English by three bilinqual experts. Seventh expert reviewed both translated and original version and sorted out appropriate translated Urdu items to finalize the scale. Subsequently, English (original version) was administered on a group of people and with 4-days of interval, translated version (final draft) was also administered on the same group. Finally, correlation between English and Urdu versions and internal consistency were computed to ensure the reliability of Urdu Version for the present study. Estimated Cronbach's alpha for physical aggression was (α =.90), of verbal aggression ( $\alpha$  =.65), of anger ( $\alpha$  =.88), hostility ( $\alpha$  =.75) and full scale was ( $\alpha$ =.92). Correlation between English and Urdu versions for the subscale of physical aggression was (r = .74), verbal aggression (r =.72), anger (r =.79), hostility was (r =.67) and full scale (r = .69).

Having signed the informed consent form, participants were given briefing regarding the nature, purpose and procedure of the study. Demographic information form, aggression questionnaire and physical health scale were applied to collect the data. Statistical analysis were done with descriptive statistics (frequency/percentages), linear and multiple regression analysis with SPSS Version 20.

Table 1: Summary of demographic characteristics of the participants (n=150)

Cha	racteristics	Frequency	Percentage	
Ago	31-40	113	75.3	
Age	41-50	37	24.6	
	Matric	98	65.3	
Educational Level	Intermediate	20	13.3	
	Graduate	23	15.3	
	Masters	9	6	
Duration of Marriage	3 years	29	19.3	
	More than 3 years	121	80.6	
Family Cystom	Joint	89	59.3	
Family System	Nuclear	61	40.6	
Number of Family Members	1-10	56	37.3	
	11-20	93	62	
	>20	1	0.6	

	Table 2:	Summary	of	linear	regression	analysis
--	----------	---------	----	--------	------------	----------

Model	В	SE	β	Т	P Value
Constant	30.183	1.575		19.159	.000
Aggression	066	.019	272	-3.437	.001

a. Dependent variable: Physical health;  $R^2 = .074$ ,  $\Delta R^2 = .068$ , F = 11.814

Table 3: Summary of multiple regression analysis

			- 1 - 3		
Model	В	SE	В	t	P Value
Constant	29.804	1.603		18.587	.000
Physical Aggression	171	.078	234	-2.202	.029
Verbal Aggression	.092	.106	.087	.867	.388
Anger	154	.077	219	-2.010	.046
Hostility	.041	.075	.055	.549	.584

b. Dependent variable: Physical health;  $R^2 = .111$ ,  $\Delta R^2 = .087$ , F = 4.544

## **RESULTS**

Most of the women (75.3 %) were falling in the age range of 31-40 years. About 65.3% were matriculate and 80.6% were married for more than 3 years. Among them, 59.3% belonged to joint family system (Table 1). Aggression significantly predicted physical health in married women ( $\beta$  =-.272, t (148) =-3.437, p =.001) as shown in Table 2.

Significant association was found between aggression and physical health (R=.334). About 11% of variance (R²=.111) in physical health was accounted for aggression. Furthermore, adjusted R showed 8% of variance in dependent variable due to independent variable ( $\Delta$ R²=.087). Among four components of aggression, physical aggression ( $\beta$ =-.234, t (148)=-2.202, p=.029) and anger ( $\beta$ =-.219, t (148)=-2.010, p=.046) were found to be more specific for prediction of physical health in married women (Table 3).

### **DISCUSSION**

Aggression significantly determines physical health of married women. Previous studies have also noted significant association between aggression<sup>8</sup> and physical health such as coronary heart diseases<sup>21</sup>; thus supporting the present findings by exemplifying the negative influence of trait displaced aggression on physical health consequences<sup>22</sup>.

Aggressive tendencies influence human physiology. People who face aggression physiologically respond

to stressful situation with high activation of sympatho-adrenomedullary system<sup>23</sup>. That high activation of sympatho-adrenomedullary system is responsible for disturbance in blood flow, gastrointestinal and reproductive organs<sup>24</sup>. This might be one of the reasons, aggression affecting physical health in women.

Anger, too, significantly determined physical health in married women. Literature has demonstrated significant association of anger with diabetes and coronary heart problems<sup>25</sup>. More anger out or anger expression was robustly associated with health risk behaviors and poor physical health<sup>26</sup>. In this regard, present findings could be justified while focusing on the emotions involved in anger. A previous study illustrated the direct influence of emotional state on physical health<sup>27</sup>. With negative emotions, an individual pessimistically perceive a problematic situation and easily gets frustrated. Conversely, optimistic point of view promotes physical health rather than impeding the person's growth. It was further revealed by other research evidence which proved optimism playing a significant predictive role in physical health<sup>28</sup>. Hence, women with anger and aggressiveness are preoccupied with negativity and pessimism leading to physical distress, discomfort and pain.

Two other components of aggression (verbal aggression and hostility) did not significantly determine physical health in married women. Verbal aggression, in the present study, was also measured in the form of arguments and disagreements. Women are usually found to be using verbal ability to express whatever they feel

and think. Sometimes, it results in verbal arguments, disagreements and illogical discussions. Perhaps for this reason, this variable might not be debilitating the physical health of women like physical aggression and anger did. Besides, hostility comprised of feelings of mistrust and cynicism also. Women, in the present study, might not feel their relations and life as miserable and mistrusted that could badly affect their physical health.

## **CONCLUSION**

Verbal and physical aggression determines physical health of married women. Aggression cultivates negative emotions and feelings which are converted in physical discomfort and pain.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Glassman WE, Hadad M. Approaches to Psychology. 5th ed. McGraw Hill Education; 2009. Available at: http:// www.yanchukvladimir.com/docs/Library/Approaches%20 to%20Psychology%202009.pdf.
- Corner DF, Barkley RA. Aggression and antisocial behavior in children and adolescents. Research and treatment. New York: Guilford Press; 2002. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5695738/
- Archer J, Coyne SM. An integrative review of indirect, relational and social aggression. Pers Soc Psychol Rev 2005; 9:212-30.
- Anderson CA, Bushman BJ. Human aggression. Ann Rev Psychol 2002; 53:27–51.
- Alia-Klein N, Goldstein RZ, Kriplani A, Logan J, Tomasi D, Williams B, et al. Brain monoamine oxidase a activity predicts trait aggression. J Neuro Sci 2008; 28:5099–104.
- 6. Buss AH, Perry M. The aggression questionnaire. J Pers Soc Psychol 1992; 63:452-9.
- 7. Rudatsikira E, Muula A, Siziya S. Prevalence and correlates of physical fighting among school-going adolescent in Santiago, Chile. Rev Bras Psiquiatr 2008; 30:197-202.
- 8. Shafiq F. Prevalence and predictors of aggression in married men and women. M. Phil [thesis]. Department Applied Psychology: Govt. College Women University Faisalabad; 2017.
- 9. Biaggio MK. Sex differences in behavioral reactions to provocation of anger. Psychol Rep 1989; 64: 23–6.
- Meyrueix L, Durham G, Miller J, Smalley KB, Warren JC. Association between depression and aggression in rural Women. J Health Dispar Res Pract 2015; 8:136–44.
- Schneider KM, Nicolotti L, Delamater A. Aggression and cardiovascular response in children. J Pediatr Psychol 2002; 27:565–73.
- 12. Davidson KW, Mostrofsky E. Anger expression and risk of

- coronary heart disease: Evidence from the Nova Scotia Health Survey. Am Heart J 2010; 159:199-206.
- 13. Larkin KT, Zayfert C. Anger expression and essential hypertension: behavioral response to confrontation. J Psychosom Res 2004; 56:113-8.
- Taft CT, O'Farrell TJ, Torres SE, Panuzio J, Monson CM, Murphy M et al. Examining the correlates of psychological aggression among a community sample of couples. J Fam Psychol 2006; 20:581–8.
- Coker AL, Smith PH, McKeown RE, King MJ. Frequency and correlates of intimate partner violence by type: physical, sexual, and psychological battering. Am J Public Health 2000; 90:553-9.
- Crick NR, Grotpeter JK, Bigbee MA. Relationally and physically aggressive children's intent attributions and feelings of distress for relational and instrumental peer provocations. Child Develop. 2002; 73:1134–42.
- Igna CV, Julkunen J, Vanhanen H. Anger expression styles and blood pressure: Evidence for different pathways. J Hypertens 2009; 27:1972-9.
- 18. Kim KB, Song SJ, Sok SH. A study on correlation among anger, health state and quality of life in the elderly. J Korean Acad Psych Mental Health Nurs 2008; 17:19-27.
- Scherwitz LW, Perkins LL, Chesney MA, Hughes GH, Sidney S, Manolio TA. Hostility and health behaviors in young adults: The CARDIA Study. Am J Epidemiol. 1992; 136:136-45.
- 20. Khan MNS, Akhter MS, Ayub M, Alam S, Laghari NU. Translation and validation of quality of life scale, the brief version. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2003; 13:98-100.
- Smith TW, Glazer K, Ruiz JM, Gallo LC. Hostility, Anger, Aggressiveness and coronary heart disease: An interpersonal perspective on personality, emotion, and health. J Pers 2004; 72:1217-70.
- Denson, TF, Pedersen W, Ronquillo J, Miller J. Trait displaced aggression, physical health, & life satisfaction: A process model; 2008. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255517998\_Trait\_displaced\_aggression\_physical\_health\_life\_satisfaction\_A\_process\_model.
- 23. Benus RF, Bohus B, Koolhaas JM, Van Oortmerssen GA. Heritable variation for aggression as a reflection of individual coping strategies. Experientia 1991; 47:1008-19.
- Lundberg U. Psychophysiology of work: stress, gender, endocrine response and work-related upper extremity disorders. Am J Ind Med 2002; 41:383-92.
- 25. Staicu ML, Cutov M. Anger and health risk behaviors. J Med Life 2010; 3:372–5.
- Kitayama S, Park J, Boylan JM, Miyamoto Y, Levine CS, Markus HR et al. Anger expression and III-health in two

- cultures: An examination of inflammation and cardiovascular risk. Psychol Sci 2015; 26:211–20.
- 27. Coughlin Della Selva P. Emotional processing in the treatment of psychosomatic disorders. J Clin Psychol 2006; 62:539-50.
- 28. Rasmussen HN, Scheier MF, Greenhouse JB. Optimism and physical health: A meta-analytic review. Ann Behav Med 2009; 37:239–56.

#### **CONTRIBUTORS**

FS conceived the idea, designed the study, collected, interpreted and analyzed the data. RS helped refine the idea, drafted and finalized the manuscript and did correspondence with the editor for corrections. All authors contributed significantly to the submitted manuscript.