INTRODUCTION

Substance use has become a major health issue among students all over the world. Many researches figure out the excessive substance use among student population. This is the time when university students are in period of transition from adolescence to early adulthood, which provides attractive opportunity to be the part of a large group of peers and usually proceed without parental guidance and supervision. Gau et al. found out the provoking variables such as psychiatric, psychosocial problems and substance use among adolescents. Substance use was studied with psychosocial variables e.g. male gender, low socio economic status (SES), inadequate parental practices and psychiatric predictor’s e.g. ADHD, conduct disorder and depression. On the other hand, the effect of substance use on adolescent brain development was also investigated. A study was conducted to see the effect of substance use on adolescent and concluded that brain abnormalities can be the result of substance use which ultimately causes poor cognitive performance, change in brain volume and white matter quality. Additionally, marijuana use may also deteriorate the spatial working memory efficiency. A consistent finding relates SES with psychiatric illness as well. Previously, alcohol use was found in adults with lower SES while high SES was also related with substance use. Patrick et al. reported that young
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adults belonging to high SES show more inclination towards using alcohol and marijuana. Goodman & Hang found out that white teenagers with low SES were using alcohol, cigarettes and cocaine. Being male and living in boarding may cause increased substance use in male students population.

Low parental education and moderate household income was also associated with smoking in adolescents. On the other hand, adolescents with high SES have a greater propensity to develop substance use disorder. In another research by Keyes et al there is an indication of positive relationship between hazardous use of alcohol and income. Galea et al also studied the same phenomenon. While Lawrence investigated the eco system variables (family income & parental education) with substance use and concluded the significant relationship among them. Wilkinson proposed a model that leads to develop the impact of SES on depression and substance use. The SES, drug abuse and the mediating role of depression was also reported. Another factor that may influence vulnerability to drug abuse is suggestibility, which is similar to compliance. This topic is greatly discussed under forensic setting particularly in interrogation process and its relationship with false confession. Alcohol use can affect the suggestibility of university students. Therefore, the present study was aimed at figuring out, the relationship of suggestibility with drug abuse, age, living status and socioeconomic status.

METHODOLOGY

This cross sectional study was conducted on a sample of 300 students both boys and girls with age range 19-25 years from March to April, 2012. Data were collected using purposive sampling technique from three different universities of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa including Khyber Medical University, Engineering University of Science and Technology and Ghulam Ishaq Khan University Swabi.

Demographic sheet comprised of age, gender, SES and residence (hosteller/day scholar), alcohol, smoking and substance involvement screening test (ASSIST V3.0). ASSIST was developed by WHO. The said scale screens risky behavior related to substance use in adults. It consists of eight questions covering tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, cocaine, amphetamine-type stimulants (including ecstasy) inhalants, sedatives, hallucinogens, opiates and ‘other drugs’. The scores are grouped into ‘low risk’, ‘moderate risk’ & ‘high risk’. Short Suggestibility Scale (SSS) was developed by Kotov, Bellman and Watson. The scale consists of 21 items. It is a 5-point rating scale with numerical weightage as 1 for ‘not at all’; 2 for ‘a little or very slightly’; 3 for ‘somewhat’; 4 for quite ‘a bit’; and 5 for ‘a lot’. Possible score on suggestibility ranged from 21 to 105.

After having permission of the management, the participants were provided an explanation of the general nature of the research. They were informed of their right to confidentiality and anonymity, as well as their right to suspend participation without penalty. Those who decided to participate were asked to complete the demographic data sheet comprising information e.g. gender, age, institute, SES and residence. Later on, SSS and ASSIST were administered. Spearman’s rank correlation test was used to measure the association between the two variables. Further analyses were conducted to estimate the effect of suggestibility on drug abuse by controlling the effect of demographics. A step by step approach was used to develop and test a model to predict drug abuse. In the first step, confirmatory factor analysis was conducted for the latent factor suggestibility using the 21 items SSS. The scale had good reliability (i.e., Cronbach’s alpha = .85); confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was conducted to confirm the factorial validity of the scale. The resulting model fit index suggested a poor fit of the data to the CFA model i.e., $X^2 (df) = 406.70 (189), CFI = 82, TLI = 80$, and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = .06.

RESULTS

A preliminary analysis suggested younger adolescents and living in their home are more vulnerable to drug abuse compared to older adolescents and those living in hostels. Further, Table 1 presents negative correlation between drug abuse and SES, suggesting that people with higher socioeconomic status are less vulnerable to drug abuse. Along with all these demographics, suggestibility appeared to have the strongest relationship with drug abuse (i.e., $r_s = -.47, p < 0.01$) presenting it as one of the key indicators of drug abuse.

Results suggested very low loading for the first item on suggestibility. Item 1 was excluded from the CFA model and some error covariances were incorporated. The resulting CFA model of suggestibility based on the 20 items scale presented a good model fit i.e., $X^2 (df) = 192.15 (153), CFI = 97, TLI = 96$, and RMSEA = .03. All items loaded well on the latent factor suggestibility ranging from .33-.65. In the next step outcome variables i.e., drug abuse was incorporated in the model and effect of latent variable suggestibility was estimated on addiction controlling for the effect of age, living status and socioeconomic status. The results indicated a good model fit i.e., $X^2 (df) = 304.53 (232), CFI = 97, TLI = 96$, and RMSEA = .03, and the model suggested that even after controlling for the effect of age, living status, socioeconomic status, suggestibility was a significant predictor of addiction (i.e., $\beta = -.11, p < .05$) and explained 16% variance in drug addiction.

Finally, the model was tested across gender to test its applicability across male and female adolescents. The
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Table 1: Correlation between study variables (Spearman’s rho)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Drug Abuse</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Living Status</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>SES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.16**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Status</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>-.38**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>-.12*</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestibility</td>
<td>-.47**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.23**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Model fit indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>X2 (df)</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>TLI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>406.70 (189)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>192.15 (153)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>304.53 (232)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>552.29 (468)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>569.44 (494)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CFI=Confirmatory factor index, TLI= Tucker-Lewis Index, RMSEA= Root Mean Square Error of Approximation
L1: CFA model with 21 items short suggestibility scale
L2: CFA model with 20 items short suggestibility scale
M1: Model predicting drug abuse with latent suggestibility controlling for age, SES and place of residence
M2: M1 across gender
M3: M1 across gender with equality constraints for male and female population

Results presented a good model fit (Table 2) suggesting that model is applicable for both male and female population and explained 21% variance in females in comparison to 16% variance in male population. Finally, the effect of parameters was tested for equality constraints across gender. The results suggested equal effect of suggestibility i.e., $\beta = -0.13$, $p < 0.05$ for both males and females; whereas SES appear to have slightly higher effect on drug abuse in female population i.e., $\beta = -0.42$, $p < 0.01$ compared to their male counterparts i.e., $\beta = -0.35$, $p < 0.01$. In all aspects, the model suggested crucial impact of suggestibility on drug abuse.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study highlighted that young population is more vulnerable to substance use. The present findings are in line with the previous literature. Adolescence period is usually considered vulnerable time for developing risky behavior. Substance use is one of the most common risky behaviors. It is well established that adolescents having drug using friends will have more chances to develop the same habit. Friends not only facilitate for drugs in term of access as well as help to make a positive image towards the use of drug on the other hand, collision and malevolence among friends also lead towards substance use. However, some researches indicate the positive features of friendship and low level of substance use. Parent child relationship, home environment and family conflict have strong impact on adolescent’s social behavior. Parents-child relationship characterized by positive attributes e.g., parent support, encouragement, praise, attachment may link to less substance use. Parental knowledge was also associated with adolescent substance use. For instance, positive parent-child communication and relation give awareness to the parents about their child’s friendships, their activities and whereabouts. Another study concluded that positive parenting can reduce...
CONCLUSION

Younger adolescents and living at home are vulnerability factors for substance abuse as compared to hostellers. The study also concluded that suggestibility in personality can predict substance use.
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