



OPEN ACCESS



PERIPHERAL NEUROPATHY AMONG PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES USING MICHIGAN NEUROPATHY SCREENING INSTRUMENT

Akhtar Hussain, Ibrar Ahmad[✉], Muhammad Nawaz Khan, Adnan Zar, Shahid Shahzad

Department of Endocrinology, Medical Teaching Institute Lady Reading Institute, Peshawar - Pakistan.

Address for correspondence:
Ibrar Ahmad
Department of Endocrinology, Medical Teaching Institute, Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar - Pakistan.

E-mail:
Ibrar2127@hotmail.com

Date Received:
September 07, 2020

Date Revised:
February 10, 2021

Date Accepted:
February 15, 2021

This article may be cited as

Hussain A, Ahmad I, Khan MN, Zar A, Shahzad S. Peripheral neuropathy among patients with type 2 diabetes using michigan neuropathy screening instrument. *J Postgrad Med Inst* 2021; 35(1): 7-11. <https://doi.org/10.54079/jpmi.35.1.2735>

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the frequency of peripheral neuropathy and associated factors among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), attending a tertiary care hospital via Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument (MNSI).

Methodology: This cross-sectional study was conducted in the department of endocrinology and metabolic diseases, Medical Teaching Institute, Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar, from March to December 2019. A total of 365 patients with T2DM were included in the study. All patients were evaluated for peripheral neuropathy using the two sets of MNSI (symptoms and examinations).

Results: Mean age of the patients was 49.0 ± 7.52 years. Mean body mass index (BMI) was 28.1 ± 5.21 kg/m², and mean glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) was $10.1 \pm 1.56\%$. There were 150 (41.10%) males and 215 (58.90%) females. Based on the scoring of MNSI questionnaire, 239 (65.5%) had diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) while using MNSI examination 252 (69.0%) had DPN. By combining both questionnaire and examination, DPN was present in 290 (79.5%) of the study participants. The factors associated with DPN after controlling for potential confounders were age of > 50, high levels of total cholesterol (TC) and low density lipoproteins (LDL).

Conclusion: The frequency of DPN was found to be higher in our study population; the burden being high among males. Age, TC and LDL were found to have significant association.

Key Words: Diabetes Mellitus; Peripheral neuropathy; Michigan neuropathy screening instrument (MNSI)

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a global public health issue and has developed as a major socioeconomic problem for low income countries.¹ In 2017, worldwide, 451 million people were diabetic, and by 2045 the number is expected to surpass 693 million.² According to latest Pakistan national diabetes survey, about 26.3% (overall weighted prevalence) of the local population aged above 19 is diabetic.³ However, a similar study, named Diabetes Prevalence Survey of Pakistan (DPS-PAK) reported this prevalence to be 16.98%.⁴

Among the long-term diabetes associated complications, neuropathy is the most prevalent chronic and debilitating complication.⁵⁻⁹ It has varying presentations and is thus sometimes difficult to diagnose.⁷ In a vast majority of patients, it is asymptomatic. Distal symmetrical polyneuropathy (DSPN), also known as diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN), is the commonest presentation. It affects the lower limbs mostly and contributes to ulcers formation and ultimately amputations or even death.¹⁰ It is therefore important to screen patients periodically and develop preventive and therapeutic mea-

asures in a timely fashion to prevent excess morbidity and mortality.

DPN is caused by persistent hyperglycemia leading to other metabolic abnormalities like polyol flux, increased oxidative stress and advanced glycation end-products formations along with dyslipidemia and other risk factors.^{6,11,12} The Toronto Diabetic Neuropathy Expert group defines DPN as symmetrical, length-dependent sensorimotor polyneuropathy, attributable to metabolic and micro-vessel alterations as a result of chronic hyperglycemia exposure and cardiovascular risk covariates, and divides it into possible, probable, confirmed and subclinical.^{8,13}

The diagnosis of DPN is difficult because of lack of clear diagnostic guidelines.¹⁴ Different screening tools have been used to identify neuropathy. However a confirmed diagnosis requires complete nerve conduction study (NCS) or small fiber functions measurement. NCS is regarded as the gold standard for diagnosing neuropathy but requires special instruments and qualified personnel, which are not available in most of our setups. Therefore, it cannot be practiced for screening in all settings. The Michigan Neuropathy Screening instrument (MNSI) is a simple, non-invasive and valid tool for assessment of DPN in diabetic patients.¹⁵

Screening for DPN in clinical practice using such simple objective tool may be much helpful, as the detection of the various soft and subtle signs of DPN at the earliest could minimize the damaging effects of this serious microvascular complication and, in turn, improve the quality of life of such patients. Considering this, we conducted this study to determine frequency of peripheral neuropathy using MSNI.

METHODOLOGY

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the department of endocrinology and metabolic diseases from March to December 2019. A total of 365 T2DM patients, diagnosed according to American Diabetes Association criteria¹⁴, aged ≥ 30 years, having HbA1c of $\geq 6.5\%$, and willing to participate in the study, were included. All those with history of alcohol intake, drug abuse, history of nerve root compression, cerebral vascular diseases and hypothyroidism were excluded.

DPN assessment was done by principal investigator using MNSI. Part one (history version) comprised of 15 questions to assess the history of neuropathic symptoms. These are simple questions based on "Yes" or "No" responses. The responses were used in evaluating the patients for the presence of numbness, temperature and other foot sensation. Part two of MNSI (examination version) comprised of a brief physical examination for the inspection of feet, ankle reflexes by tendon hammer, vibration by using 128 Hz tuning fork and fine touch sensation done by 10 gm Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament (SWM). Neuropathy was defined as seven or more positive responses on the MNSI questionnaire or a score >2.0 on the MNSI examination.¹⁵

A structured data collection sheet was used to record all the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), duration of diabetes and laboratory parameters. The analysis was done using SPSS version 20. Presence or absence of DPN was reported in percentages. Means of variables were compared using independent t-test while Chi square test was used to determine the association between categorical variables. Binary logistic regression analysis was run to deter-

mine the Odds Ratio (OR). A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

There were 150 (41.10%) males and 215 (58.90%) females enrolled in the study. The mean age of the patients was 49.0 ± 7.52 years with 259 (70.96%) patients of age 50 or below and 106 (29.04%) patients of above 50 years of age. The mean height was 161.0 ± 8.27 cm, weight was 74.0 ± 13.83 kg and BMI was 28.1 ± 5.21 kg/m². Mean total cholesterol (TC) level was 199.4 ± 47.78 mg/dl, low density lipoprotein (LDL) level was 134 ± 24.63 mg/dl, high density lipoprotein (HDL) level was 35.0 ± 3.01 mg/dl, triglyceride (TG) level was 234.5 ± 95.59 mg/dl and mean glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was $10.1 \pm 1.56\%$ as shown in table 1. There was a family history of diabetes in 266 (72.88%) patients.

The most frequently reported symptoms were numbness (85.7%), followed by burning sensation in feet (76.1%). The individual examination of MNSI showed that 125 (34.25%) patients were having abnormal appearance, 157 (43.01%) were having ulceration, 156 (42.74%) were having absent ankle reflex, 191 (52.33%) had absent vibration and 210 (57.53%) had absent monofilament perception. MNSI symptoms showed peripheral neuropathy in 239 (65.5%) and MNSI examination showed peripheral neuropathy in 252 (69.0%) patients. By combining both, DPN was present in 290 (79.5%) of the study participants.

Table 2 shows association of age, anthropometric and laboratory parameters with DPN status. BMI and LDL were significantly higher in Non DPN patients as compared to DPN patients ($p < 0.005$). People of age ≤ 50 years were significantly more in number in DPN group as compared to those with no DPN ($p = 0.009$).

Table 3 shows the odds ratios (ORs) for factors associated with DPN. Parameters with a p-value ≤ 0.2 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. The factors associated with DPN were found to be age group >50 (OR: 2.1, CI: 1.03-4.26, $p = 0.042$), total cholesterol (OR: 1.02, CI: 1.01-1.03, $p < 0.001$)

and LDL (OR: 0.97, CI: 0.96-0.99, $p < 0.001$), after controlling for potential confounders.

DISCUSSION

Our study showed the presence of Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (DPN) in 290 (79.5%) patients. Almost comparable results have been reported in another study that found 69% prevalence of DPN by MNSI.¹⁶ Another study found that 51% of the T2DM patients with cardiovascular manifestations had DPN on this instrument.¹⁷ Contrary to our findings, Herman et al, only showed 30% confirmed clinical neuropathy cases by this instrument, 18% were having ≥ 4 and 5% were having ≥ 7 abnormal response to MNSI symptoms while 33% were having abnormal examination (≥ 2.5 score).¹⁸ However, his study was done on patients with type 1 diabetes only. The difference in prevalence of DPN among these studies may be due to the differences in the duration and type of diabetes, study designs, type of study population and difference in types of scales used to assess the magnitude of DPN. High frequency of DPN in our patients may be due to the presence of other undetected complications like coronary artery disease (CAD) and retinopathy, as diabetic patients having other microvascular and macrovascular complications are more likely to have DPN. This can be due to common pathogenic mechanisms as the toxic effect of hyperglycemia in the form of increasing thickness of endoneurial microvessels, accumulation of advanced glycation end products, activation of the polyol pathway and oxidative stress.^{6,11,12} Moreover, this can also be due to inter subjects variability in signs and symptoms of DPN and the differences in sample selected.

We evaluated many risk factors for diabetic neuropathy. Statistically significant association was observed between age and DPN (being more common in less than 50 years of age group) in univariate analysis, while in multivariate analysis, the likelihood of DPN was higher in the age group >50 years. Similar results have been described by previously published studies.^{18, 19} In our study, mean BMI and LDL were higher in non-DPN as compared to DPN patients in univariate analysis. The high BMI in patients with non

Table 1: Demographic and anthropometric characteristics of patients

Variables	Mean ± SD
Age (years)	49.0 ± 7.52
Duration of diabetes (years)	6.58 ± 4.02
Height (m)	161.0 ± 8.27
Weight (kg)	74.0 ± 13.83
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	28.1 ± 5.21
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	199.4 ± 47.76
Low Density Lipoprotein (mg/dl)	134.0 ± 24.63
High Density Lipoprotein (mg/dl)	35.0 ± 3.01
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	234.5 ± 95.59
Glycated Hemoglobin [HbA1c] (%)	10.1 ± 1.56

Table 2: Association of age, anthropometric and laboratory parameters with DPN

	DPN [n (%)]	Non-DPN [n (%)]	P-value
≤50 Years	197 (53.97)	62 (16.99)	0.009
>50 Years	93 (25.48)	13 (3.56)	
Male	123 (33.70)	27 (7.40)	0.312
Female	167 (45.75)	48 (13.15)	
Family History of T2DM	206 (56.44)	60 (16.44)	0.145
No family history of T2DM	84 (23.01)	15 (4.11)	
	DPN (Mean ± SD)	Non-DPN (Mean ± SD)	P-value
Height (m)	161.0 ± 8.41	159.0 ± 7.64	0.180
Weight (kg)	73.0 ± 13.73	76.0 ± 14.09	0.107
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	27.8 ± 5.00	29.5 ± 5.80	0.011
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	201.1 ± 43.94	193.0 ± 60.24	0.193
LDL (mg/dl)	132.2 ± 24.54	141.0 ± 23.87	0.005
HDL (mg/dl)	35.2 ± 3.04	34.4 ± 2.85	0.062
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	234.4 ± 99.32	234.9 ± 80.15	0.964
HbA1c (%)	10.0 ± 1.62	10.3 ± 1.29	0.209
Duration of diabetes	6.36 ± 4.08	7.41 ± 4.58	0.053
Total MNSI Score	7.82 ± 2.49	3.45 ± 1.59	<0.001

DPN Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy T2DM: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
 LDL: Low density Lipoprotein HDL: High density Lipoprotein
 HbA1c: Glycated hemoglobin MNSI: Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument

Table 3: Determinates of DPN in our study population

Variable	OR	95% CI	p
Cholesterol [mg/dl (mean)]	1.02	1.01-1.03	<0.001
LDL [mg/dl(mean)]	0.97	0.96-0.99	<0.001
Age groups (years) (%)			
≤50	1		
>50	2.1	1.03-4.26	0.042

DPN Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy LDL: Low density Lipoprotein

DPN may be explained by the confounding effect of insulin use, leading to weight gain. On the other hand, in multivariate regression, after controlling for potential confounders, TC and LDL were found to be associated with DPN. Literature supports that high BMI and LDL cholesterol are positively related to the development of DPN.^{20, 21}

Won et al showed that age, female gender, duration of diabetes, low HbA1c, presence of retinopathy, history of peripheral arterial disease or stroke, presence of hypertension, dyslipidemia and foot ulcers were independently associated with the occurrence of DPN.¹³ Some of the variables like increasing age and dyslipidemia leading to DPN are consistent with our findings. Our study argues for multifactorial nature of neuropathy and hence supports the need for managing multiple metabolic abnormalities. The combination of older age and injurious effects of high blood glucose can bring an increased frequency of DPN as one gets older.²²

Although the prevalence of microvascular complications like neuropathy is strongly related to the degree of glycemic control, we did not find an association between DPN and HbA1c. This is consistent with the study done by Khawaja et al.²² However, a single reading of HbA1c at the time of presentation does not reflect the true status of long-term glycemic control. Moreover, this does not mean that proper glycemic control has no influence on the development of neuropathy. Although longer duration of DM with poor glycemic control is well known determinant for DPN, we did not find any difference in the mean duration of diabetes in DPN and non-DPN patients in both univariate and multivariate analysis. Similar to our study, no such association was observed by D'Souza et al in their study.²⁰

This limitations of the study include lack of inquiry about smoking, physical activity, presence of cardiovascular disease, retinopathy and nephropathy, Furthermore, denial of alcohol use by patients and causality determination for neuropathy like B12 and folic acid deficiency was not elucidated that may lead to overestimation of DPN frequency. Moreover, this was a single hospital study, which may limit the generalization of findings. Also, since MNSI is a screening instrument and not a diagnostic one; the score of >2 means fur-

ther testing is needed for diagnosing neuropathy through nerve conduction studies.

CONCLUSION

The frequency of DPN was found to be higher in our study population; the burden being high among males. Age, TC and LDL were found to have significant association. Our data highlights the need for assessment and detection of DPN in routine screening in the initial and follow-up visits. Moreover, measures should be adopted to prevent the development and progression of DPN.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: National diabetes statistics report: estimates of diabetes and its burden in the United States, 2014. Atlanta, GA: US Dept of Health and Human Services;2014.
- NH Cho NH, Shaw JE, Karuranga S, Huang Y, da Rocha Fernandes JD, Ohlrogge AW, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2017 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2018;138:271-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2018.02.023>.
- Ijaz M, Ali I, Hussain A. Diabetes mellitus in Pakistan: the past, present, and future. *Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries.* 2020;40:153-4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13410-019-00754-x>
- Basit A, Fawwad A, Qureshi H, Shera A. Prevalence of diabetes, pre-diabetes and associated risk factors: second National Diabetes Survey of Pakistan (NDSP), 2016–2017. *BMJ Open.* 2018;8(8):e020961. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020961>
- Singh R, Kishore L, Kaur N. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: current perspective and future directions. *Pharmacol Res.* 2014;80:21-35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2013.12.005>
- Tesfaye S, Boulton AJM, Dyck PJ, Freeman R, Horowitz M, Kempner P, et al. Diabetic neuropathies: update on definitions, diagnostic criteria, estimation of severity, and treatments. *Diabetes Care.* 2010;33(10):2285-93. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc10-1303>
- Tesfaye S, Selvarajah D. Advances in the epidemiology, pathogenesis and management of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2012;28:8-14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.2239>
- Tesfaye S, Vileikyte L, Rayman G, Sindrup SH, Perkins BA, Baconja M, et al. Painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: consensus recommendations on diagnosis, assessment and management. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2011;27(7):629-38. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.1225>
- Ndosi M, Wright-Hughes A, Brown S, Backhouse M, Lipsky BA, Bhogal M, et al. Prognosis of the infected diabetic foot ulcer: a 12-month prospective observational study. *Diabet Med.* 2018;35(1):78-88. <http://doi.org/10.1111/dme.13537>.
- Callaghan BC, Xia R, Banerjee M, de Rekeneire N, Harris TB, Newman AB, et al. Metabolic syndrome components are associated with symptomatic polyneuropathy independent of glycemic status. *Diabetes Care.* 2016;39(5):801-7. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-0081>
- Callaghan B, Feldman E. The metabolic syndrome and neuropathy: therapeutic challenges and opportunities. *Ann Neurol.* 2013;74(3):397-403. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.23986>
- Bril V, Tomioka S, Buchanan RA, Perkins BA. Reliability and validity of the modified Toronto Clinical Neuropathy Score in diabetic sensorimotor polyneuropathy. *Diabet Med.* 2009;26(3):240-6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2009.02667.x>
- Won JC, Kwon HS, Kim CH, Lee JH, Park TS, Ko KS, et al. Prevalence and clinical characteristics of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in hospital patients with Type 2 diabetes in Korea. *Diabet Med.* 2012;29(9):e290-6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2012.03697.x>
- American Diabetes Association: 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care.* 2018;41(Suppl 1):S13-27. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc18-S002>
- Moghtaderi A, Bakhshipour A, Rashidi H. Validation of Michigan neuropathy screening instrument for diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* 2006;108(5):477-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2005.08.003>
- Fateh HR, Madani SP, Heshmat R, Larijani B. Correlation of Michigan neuropathy screening instrument, United Kingdom screening test and electrodiagnosis for early detection of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders.* 2015;15(1):8-12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40200-016-0229-7>
- Won JC, Kwon HS, Kim CH, Lee JH, Park TS, Ko KS, et al. Prevalence and clinical characteristics of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in hospital patients with type 2 diabetes in Korea. *Diabet Med.* 2012;29(9):e290-6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2012.03697.x>.
- Herman WH, Pop-Busui R, Braffett BH, Martin CL, Cleary PA, Albers JW, et al. Use of the Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument as a measure of distal symmetrical peripheral neuropathy in Type 1 diabetes: results from the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/ Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications. *Diabet Med.* 2012;29(7):937-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2012.03644.x>
- Mete T, Aydin Y, Saka M, Cinar Yavuz H, Bilen S, Yalcin Y, et al. Comparison of efficiencies of michigan neuropathy screening instrument, neurothesiometer, and electromyography for diagnosis of diabetic neuropathy. *Int J Endocrinol.* 2013;2013 :821745. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/821745>
- D'Souza M, Kulkarni V, Unnikrishnan Bhaskaran HA, Naimish H, Prakash A, Tabreez S, et al. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy and its determinants among patients attending a tertiary health care centre in Mangalore, India. *Journal of public health research.* 2015;4(2):450-4. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jphr.2015.450>
- Lu B, Hu J, Wen J, Zhang Z, Zhou L, Li Y, et al. Determination of peripheral neuropathy prevalence and associated factors in Chinese subjects with diabetes and pre-diabetes – ShangHai Diabetic neuropathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetics Study (SH-DREAMS). *PLoS One.* 2013;8(4):e61053. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061053>
- Khawaja N, Abu-Shennar J, Saleh M,

Dahbour SS, Khader YS, Ajlouni KM. The prevalence and risk factors of peripher-

al neuropathy among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus; the case of Jordan.

Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2018;10(1):8-17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13098-018-0309-6>.

Author's Contribution

AH Conceived the idea, made a plan, carried out data collection, script writing and statistical compilation according to the research proposal. IA Data collection as per methodology, refining the manuscript and statistical analysis. MN Data collection as per methodology, refining the manuscript and statistical analysis. AZ Executed the project plan, helped in data collection, literature search and bibliography. SS Executed the project plan, helped in data collection, literature search and bibliography. Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Conflict of Interest

Authors declared no conflict of interest

Grant Support and Financial Disclosure

None

Data Sharing Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.